## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON MEDFORD DIVISION

DEB EVANS, RON SCHAAF, et al.

Case No. 1:19-cv-00766-CL ORDER AND OPINION

Plaintiffs,

vs.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION,

Defendant.

## AIKEN, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark Clarke filed his Findings and Recommendations ("F&R") (doc. 31) recommending that plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (doc. 18) should be GRANTED, and defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (doc. 13) should be DENIED. This case is now before me. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's F&R, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the magistrate

PAGE 1 - ORDER

Case 1:19-cv-00766-CL Document 37 Filed 05/27/20 Page 2 of 2

judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore

Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert denied, 455 U.S.

920 (1982). Both parties filed timely objections (docs. 33, 34) and responses (docs. 35,

36). Thus, I review the F&R de novo.

Having considered the record and the arguments offered by the parties, the

Court finds no error in Magistrate Judge Clarke's analysis. Therefore, the Court

adopts the F&R (doc. 31) in its entirety. Thus, plaintiffs' Motion for Summary

Judgment (doc. 18) is GRANTED, and defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment

(doc. 13) is DENIED. Accordingly, defendant shall comply with plaintiffs' Freedom

of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq, request and release unredacted landowner

lists.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 27th day of May, 2020.

/s/Ann Aiken

Ann Aiken

United States District Judge